arXiv:1604.04903v1 [q-bio.QM] 17 Apr 2016

Path integral approach to theories of diffusion-influenced reactions

Thorsten Prüstel and Martin Meier-Schellersheim

Laboratory of Systems Biology
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
National Institutes of Health

April 19, 2016

Abstract

The path decomposition expansion represents the propagator of the irreversible reaction as a convolution of the first-passage, last-passage and rebinding time probability densities. Using path integral technique, we give an elementary, yet rigorous, proof of the path decomposition expansion of the Green's functions describing the non-reactive case and the irreversible reaction of an isolated pair of molecules. To this end, we exploit the connection between boundary value problems and interaction potential problems with δ- and δ'-function perturbation. In particular, we employ a known exact summation of a perturbation series to derive exact relations between the Green's functions of the perturbed and unperturbed problem. Along the way, we are able to derive a number of additional exact identities that relate the propagators describing the free-space, the non-reactive as well as the completely and partially reactive case.

1 Introduction

The transition probability density function (PDF) $p(x,t|x_0)$ is the fundamental dynamical quantity that describes the diffusion-influenced reaction of an isolated pair of molecules. Traditionally, $p(x,t|x_0)$ appears as Green's function (GF) of the diffusion equation supplemented by suitable boundary

*Email: prustelt@niaid.nih.gov, mms@niaid.nih.gov

conditions (BC) imposed at the encounter distance $x = a$ that implement the actual reaction [34, 8, 12, 13, 28, 2, 20, 23]. However, imposing BC are not the only way to implement reactions. Alternatively, sink terms may be added to the diffusion equation. It has been discussed that, under certain conditions, sink terms are equivalent to a description in terms of BC, but they provide a more general and more flexible approach than the traditional one [35, 9, 10, 22, 19, 24, 25, 1]. Also, they offer another adavantage: The diffusion equation with sink terms assumes a form that is quite similar to the Schroedinger equation. In fact, it is well-known that both equations are related by a Wick-transformation, that is by $t \to -it$ [29, 5, 30]. In this way, methods that have been used in a quantum-mechanical context, can be borrowed to study the theory of diffusion-influenced reactions. A case in point is the path decomposition expansion (PDX), which was originally employed to study quantum-mechanical problems [4, 18, 33, 30, 36, 37]. Recently, it has been shown that in the context of diffusion-influenced reactions the GF of the irreversible reaction can be factorized in the Laplace domain as a product of the first-, last-passage and rebinding time probability densities [26]. In this manuscript, we present a straightforward, yet rigorous, proof of the PDX of the propagators that play an important role in the theory of diffusion-influenced reactions. The proof is based on another technique imported from quantum mechanics. In a series of papers [14, 15, 16], Grosche discussed the implementation of Dirichlet and Neumann BC in terms of interaction potentials that are δ- and δ'-function perturbed. By using an exact summation of the expansion of the corresponding path integral, an exact convolution relation between the perturbed and unperturbed GF can be established. Because Dirichlet and Neumann BC correspond to absorbing (completely reactive) and reflecting (non-reactive) BC in the theory of diffusion-influenced reactions, the Wick-rotated (Euclidean) version of Grosche's results can be immediately applied to the case of an isolated pair. By considering different choices for the unperturbed potential, we can derive several important relations between the GF corresponding to various BC, which are far from obvious from a differential equation point of view [3]. With these relations at our disposal, the actual proof of the PDX becomes rather accessible. We point out that some of the relations that we will derive have been obtained before by using the general form of the GF of the Smoluchowski equation [3]. Alternative proofs of the PDX have been given in Refs. [4, 18, 33, 17]. The application of quantum field theoretical methods to diffusion-limited reactions and path integral techniques to stochastic differential equation are reviewed in Ref. [21] and [6], respectively. The manuscript is structured as follows. First, we will briefly describe the

traditional BC approach and introduce the alternative formulation given in terms of sink potentials. Then, we will briefly recall the PDX and summarize Grosche's results. Equipped with those, we will derive various convolution relations, from which the PDX results. In the following, we will consider an isolated pair in one dimension (1D) for convenience. We will study the 2D and 3D cases in a forthcoming manuscript.

2 Theory

2.1 Boundary vs potential problems

The conditional PDF $p(x,t|x_0)$, which yields the probability to find the molecule at position x at time t, given that it was initially localized at x_0, is the GF of the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t|x_0)}{\partial t} = D\frac{\partial^2 p(x,t|x_0)}{\partial x^2}, \tag{1}$$

subject to the initial condition (IC)

$$p(x,t=0|x_0) = \delta(x-x_0). \tag{2}$$

The traditional, Smoluchowski-Collins-Kimball (SCK) approach [34, 8, 13, 28] to incorporate the actual binding reaction, amounts to impose a BC at the encounter distance $x = a$. The Collins-Kimball, or radiation BC reads [8, 13, 28, 2, 20, 23]

$$D\frac{\partial p(x,t|x_0)}{\partial x}|_{x=a} = \kappa_a p(x=a,t|x_0). \tag{3}$$

The absorbing BC is recovered for $\kappa_a \to \infty$, while the non-reactive, reflective BC is obtained for $\kappa_a = 0$. We will denote the GF corresponding to free-space, absorbing, reflecting and radiation BC as $p_{\text{free}}(x,t|x_0)$, $p_{\text{abs}}(x,t|x_0)$, $p_{\text{ref}}(x,t|x_0)$ and $p_{\text{rad}}(x,t|x_0)$, respectively.

Instead of imposing BC at the encounter distance, the actual binding reaction may alternatively be taken into account by introducing sink terms in the diffusion equation. For instance, under certain conditions, the radiation BC may be recovered from the diffusion equation with an additional δ-function interaction potential [35]

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t|x_0)}{\partial x} = D\frac{\partial^2 p(x,t|x_0)}{\partial x^2} - \gamma\delta(x-a). \tag{4}$$

Note that Eq. (4) does not necessarily come with any BC imposed at the encounter distance. Also, we emphasize that the solution of Eq. (4) is not identical to the solution of the radiation BC problem [32], unless one makes additional assumptions [35]. One obvious difference is that the GF solution to Eq. (4) is also defined for $x < a$ and $x_0 < a$, in contrast to $p_{\mathrm{rad}}(x, t|x_0)$. We will use superscripts p_δ^{++} to refer to the solution of Eq. (4) for $x, x_0 > a$. Note that even p_δ^{++} is not equal to p_{rad}, although both are defined on the same domain $x, x_0 > a$ [32].

2.2 Path decomposition expansion

The PDX [4, 18, 30] allows to break space into different regions and to study the individual contributions from the propagators restricted to one region to the complete propagator across all regions. While the decomposition of space may be chosen arbitrarily, the existence of a non-vanishing potential may suggest a natural decomposition. This is in particular true for a δ- and Heaviside step-function potential. These potentials are of special interest in the theory of diffusion-influenced reactions, because they correspond to the SCK and Doi model, respectively [9, 10, 19, 25, 1]. It has been shown by explicit calculation that the PDX indeed is satisfied by the associated propagators (in 1D and 2D) [26]. Also, it was pointed out that in the context of theories of diffusion-influenced reactions, the PDX corresponds to the convolution of three prominent time PDF: the first- and last-passage as well as the rebinding time PDF [26]. Furthermore, the PDX has been employed in a stochastic simulation algorithm that does not require explicit analytical representations of the exact GF to propagate an isolated pair correctly [27]. Here, we shall prove the following form of the PDX

$$\tilde{p}(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\mathrm{abs}}(x, s|x_0) + D^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{\mathrm{abs}}(x, s|\xi)}{\partial \xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a} \tilde{p}(a, s|a) \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{\mathrm{abs}}(\xi, s|x_0)}{\partial \xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{p}(x, s|x_0)$ refers either to $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x, s|x_0)$, $\tilde{p}_{\mathrm{ref}}(x, s|x_0)$ or $\tilde{p}_{\mathrm{rad}}(x, s|x_0)$. The proof we shall present here is based on an exactly summable perturbative expansion of a path integral that gives the propagator associated with δ- and δ'-function perturbed interaction potentials. In the following, we will always consider $x, x_0 \geq a$. Also, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a = 0$.

2.3 Path integral representation of δ-function perturbed potentials

Following Grosche [14, 15, 16], we consider a potential $W(x)$ comprised of an arbitrary potential $V(x)$ that is perturbed by a δ-function term:

$$W(x) \equiv V(x) + \mathcal{V}(x) \equiv V(x) - \gamma\delta(x-a). \tag{6}$$

The corresponding GF enjoys the following path integral representation

$$p_W(x,t|x_0) = \int \mathcal{D}x(\tau) e^{-\int_0^t [\frac{1}{4D}\dot{x}^2(\tau)+W(x)]d\tau}, \tag{7}$$

while the GF for the unperturbed problem is given by

$$p_V(x,t|x_0) = \int \mathcal{D}x(\tau) e^{-\int_0^t [\frac{1}{4D}\dot{x}^2(\tau)+V(x)]d\tau}. \tag{8}$$

The path integral can be expanded in a perturbation series as follows

$$\begin{aligned} p_W(x,t|x_0) &= p_V(x,t|x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^n \int_0^t d\tau^{(n)} \int_0^{\tau^{(n)}} d\tau^{(n-1)} \ldots \int_0^{\tau^{(2)}} d\tau^{(1)} \\ &\times \quad p_V(a,\tau^{(1)}|x_0) \ldots p_V(a,\tau^{(n)}-\tau^{(n-1)}|a) p_V(x,t-\tau^{(n)}|a). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The form of this expansion suggests to use the Laplace transformed GF $\tilde{p}(x,s|x_0)$, which is defined by

$$\tilde{p}(x,s|x_0) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} p(x,t|x_0) dt. \tag{10}$$

Then, by virtue of the convolution theorem of the Laplace tranform, one obtains in the Laplace domain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_W(x,s|x_0) &= \tilde{p}_V(x,s|x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^n \tilde{p}_V(x,s|a) \tilde{p}_V(a,s|x_0) [\tilde{p}_V(a,s|a)]^{n-1} \\ &= \tilde{p}_V(x,s|x_0) + \gamma \frac{\tilde{p}_V(x,s|a)\tilde{p}_V(a,s|x_0)}{1-\gamma\tilde{p}_V(a,s|a)}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

As we will see, this convolution relation is sufficient to prove the PDX relation by suitable choice of $V(x)$ and $W(x)$ and by taking certain limits. For instance, the limit of an infinitely repulsive δ-perturbation $\gamma \to -\infty$ implements a Dirichlet, that is, absorbing BC [14, 15].

2.4 Proof of the PDX

As a prerequisite, we shall derive convolution relations that connect $\tilde{p}_{\rm free}$ with $\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}$ and $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}$. We point out that $p_{\rm free}(x,t|x_0)$, $p_{\rm abs}(x,t|x_0)$, and $p_\delta^{++}(x,t|x_0)$ correspond to the potentials $V(x)=0, \gamma=0$, $V(x)=0, \gamma\to-\infty$ and $V(x)=0, -\infty<\gamma<0$, respectively (see Eq. (6)).

Relation between $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\rm free}$

We consider first the free-particle case, that is $V(x)=0$, perturbed by $\mathcal{V}(x)=-\gamma\delta(x-a), -\infty<\gamma<0$. Then, by virtue of Eq. (11) it immediately follows that

$$\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x,s|x_0)=\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0)+\gamma\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|a)\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{1-\gamma\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}. \tag{12}$$

As a consequence of Eq. (12), we arrive at

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a,s|a)}=\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}. \tag{13}$$

Relation between $\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\rm free}$

If we, in addition, consider the limiting case of an infinitely repulsive δ-function perturbation, that is $\gamma\to-\infty$, we obtain

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|x_0)=\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0)-\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|a)\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}. \tag{14}$$

Clearly, Eq. (14) leads to

$$\left.\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\right|_{\xi=a}=\left.\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\right|_{\xi=a}-\left.\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|a)}{\partial\xi}\right|_{\xi\to a}\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}. \tag{15}$$

Combining this equation with an identity that results from properties of the free-space GF only (Eq. (45)), we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned}D\left.\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\right|_{\xi=a} &= \frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}=\frac{D\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{1+D\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|a)|_{\xi\to a}}\\ &= \frac{\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0\to a)|_{\xi=a}},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we introduced the notation $\partial_\xi \equiv \partial/\partial\xi$. Eq. (16) gives rise to

$$D\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|\phi)}{\partial\xi\partial\phi}\bigg|_{\xi=\phi=a} = \frac{\partial_\xi\partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0\to a)|_{\xi=a}} = \frac{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|a)|_{\xi\to a}}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)}. \tag{17}$$

Finally, combining Eqs. (13) and (16), we are led to

$$D\frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a} = \frac{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a,s|a)}. \tag{18}$$

Relation between $\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\rm free}$

We follow Ref. [16] and treat reflective BC by considering potentials that are perturbed by the derivative of the δ-function

$$W(x) = V(x) - \gamma\delta'(x-a). \tag{19}$$

Analogously to the absorbing BC case, we first consider the free particle as unperturbed problem, that is $V(x)=0$, and take the limit of an infinitely repulsive perturbation $\gamma\to-\infty$. Then, one has [16]

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0) - \frac{\partial_\xi p_{\rm free}(x,s|\xi)|_{\xi=a}\partial_\xi p_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{\partial_\xi\partial_\phi p_{\rm free}(\xi,s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}. \tag{20}$$

Employing Eqs. (48) and (45), we conclude from Eq. (20) that

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0) = -\frac{1}{D}\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|\xi)|_{\xi\to a}}, \tag{21}$$

and hence, using Eq. (16), we arrive at

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a)} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)} = D\frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a} = \frac{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0\to a)|_{\xi=a}}. \tag{22}$$

In addition, Eq. (21) tells us that

$$\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|\xi)|_{\xi\to a} = -\frac{1}{D}, \tag{23}$$

Another consequence of Eq. (20) is the relation

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a) = -\frac{1}{D^2}\frac{1}{\partial_\xi\partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}, \tag{24}$$

where we have used Eqs. (21) and (17). We note that Eqs. (23), (24) and the relation

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a)} = D\frac{\partial \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a} \tag{25}$$

(see Eq. (22)) have also been obtained by other means [3].

Relation between $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{abs}}$

Now, we are sufficiently prepared to turn to the actual proof of the PDX version that relates $\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}$ with $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}$. To this end, we make the following construction. Starting point is the potential $W(x) = V(x) - \gamma_{\rm abs}\delta(x - a_{\rm abs})$, where $V(x) = -\gamma\delta(x - a)$, that is, we have a δ-function potential perturbed by a second δ-function potential localized at a different position. We assume that $a_{\rm abs} < a$. Then, the propagators $\tilde{p}_W(x, s|x_0)$ and $\tilde{p}_V(x, s|x_0)$ satisfy

$$\tilde{p}_W(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_V(x, s|x_0) + \gamma_{\rm abs}\frac{\tilde{p}_V(x, s|a_{\rm abs})\tilde{p}_V(a_{\rm abs}, s|x_0)}{1 - \gamma_{\rm abs}\tilde{p}_V(a_{\rm abs}, s|a_{\rm abs})}. \tag{26}$$

Note that we have for the unperturbed GF $\tilde{p}_V(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x, s|x_0)$, while $\tilde{p}_W(x, s|x_0)$ is not equal to any of the GF we introduced before. However, as a next step, we consider the limit $a_{\rm abs} \to a$ and $\gamma_{\rm abs} \to -\infty$. In this limit, the potential $W(x)$ assumes the form corresponding to a purely absorbing BC, that is $W(x) = -\lim_{\gamma\to-\infty}\gamma\delta(x - a)$. Therefore, Eq. (26) becomes

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x, s|x_0) - \frac{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x, s|a)\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a, s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a, s|a)}. \tag{27}$$

Now, the PDX formula for $\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}$ immediately results from the just established convolution relation by virtue of Eq. (18):

$$\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x, s|x_0) + D^2\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x, s|\xi)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a}\tilde{p}_\delta^{++}(a, s|a)\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi, s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a}. \tag{28}$$

Relation between $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{ref}}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{abs}}$

To analyze this case we consider a potential of the form $W(x) = V(x) - \gamma_{\rm ref}\delta'(x - a)$, where the unperturbed potential is given by a δ-function, that is, $V = -\gamma_{\rm abs}\delta(x - a)$. We take the limit of an infinitely repulsive δ- and δ'-potential. More precisely, we consider

$$\lim_{\gamma_{\rm abs},\gamma_{\rm ref}\to-\infty} W(x) \tag{29}$$

and obtain

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x, s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x, s|x_0) - \frac{\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x, s|\xi)|_{\xi=a}\partial_\phi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\phi, s|x_0)|_{\phi=a}}{\partial_\xi\partial_\phi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi, s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}. \tag{30}$$

Then, by making use of Eq. (24), we obtain the PDX for $\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0)$

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|x_0) + D^2 \partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|\xi)|_{\xi=a} \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a) \partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\phi,s|x_0)|_{\phi=a}. \tag{31}$$

We note that the considered potential (Eq. (29)) also gives rise to the relation

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0) - \frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|a)\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a)}. \tag{32}$$

Relation between $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}$

It has been shwon that the GF $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}$ corresponding to a radiation BC enjoys a path integral representation, given one considers a δ-function potential in the presence of a Neumann BC [7, 11]. Put differently, this means that $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}$ is obtained from the potential $W(x) = V(x) + \mathcal{V}(x)$, where the unperturbed potential and the perturbation are given by

$$V(x) = -\lim_{\gamma \to -\infty} \delta'(x-a), \tag{33}$$

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \kappa_a \delta(x-a), \tag{34}$$

respectively. Using once again the general convolution formula Eq. (11), we arrive at

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0) - \kappa_a \frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|a)\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0)}{1+\kappa_a \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a)}. \tag{35}$$

Eq. (35) has been derived before [31, 3] in a different way. From Eq. (35) we obtain

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(a,s|x_0) = \frac{\tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|x_0)}{1+\kappa_a \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a)}. \tag{36}$$

Using the established identity Eq. (36) as well as Eq. (22), we obtain from Eq. (35)

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(x,s|x_0) \\ - \;& D^2 \kappa_a \partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|\xi)|_{\xi=a} \partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\phi,s|x_0)|_{\phi=a} \tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(a,s|a) \tilde{p}_{\rm ref}(a,s|a) \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

By virtue of Eqs. (31), (37) and again (36), we finally arrive at the PDX for $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(x,s|x_0)$

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|x_0) + D^2 \partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|\xi)|_{\xi=a} \tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(a,s|a) \partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\phi,s|x_0)|_{\phi=a}. \tag{38}$$

We finally note that, due to

$$1 - \frac{D^2}{\kappa_a}\partial_\xi\partial_\phi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a} = \frac{1}{\kappa_a\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(a,s|a)}, \tag{39}$$

see Eqs. (24) and (36), the PDX for $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}$ gives rise to a representation of $\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}$ in terms of $\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}$ only

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm rad}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|x_0) + \frac{D^2}{\kappa_a}\frac{\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(x,s|\xi)|_{\xi=a}\partial_\phi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\phi,s|x_0)|_{\phi=a}}{1-\frac{D^2}{\kappa_a}\partial_\xi\partial_\phi\tilde{p}_{\rm abs}(\xi,s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}. \tag{40}$$

This shows that, dependent on which term of the potential $W(x)$ is chosen as perturbation, we obtain either Eq. (35) or (40), see also Ref. [11].

3 Appendix

Let us define

$$u(x,s) \equiv \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0), \tag{41}$$
$$v(x,s) \equiv \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|a). \tag{42}$$

Then, $u(x,s)$and $v(x,s)$ satisfy the free-space diffusion equation,

$$su(x,s) - \delta(x-x_0) = D\frac{\partial^2 u(x,s)}{\partial x^2}, \tag{43}$$
$$sv(x,s) - \delta(x-a) = D\frac{\partial^2 v(x,s)}{\partial x^2}. \tag{44}$$

Next, assuming $x_0 > a$, multiplying Eq. (43) with $v(x,s)$ and Eq. (44) with $u(x,s)$, as well as substracting the resulting equations and integrating the outcome from a to ∞ leads to

$$\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0) = D\left[\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|a)\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi=a} - \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(a,s|x_0)\frac{\partial\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|a)}{\partial\xi}\bigg|_{\xi\to a}\right], \tag{45}$$

where we have used the definitions Eqs. (41) and (42), the BC at infinity, $\lim_{x\to\infty}\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0) \to 0$, and $\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x,s|x_0) = \tilde{p}_{\rm free}(x_0,s|x)$. Taking the limit $x_0 \to a$, it follows that

$$\partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|x_0\to a)|_{\xi=a} - \partial_\xi\tilde{p}_{\rm free}(\xi,s|a)|_{\xi\to a} = D^{-1}. \tag{46}$$

Furthermore, dividing Eq. (45) by $\tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(a, s|a)$ and using Eq. (46), we obtain the chain of identities

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(a, s|x_0)}{\tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(a, s|a)} = \frac{D\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{1 + D\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|a)|_{\xi\to a}} = \frac{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|x_0)|_{\xi=a}}{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|x_0 \to a)|_{\xi=a}}. \quad (47)$$

Finally, as a consequence of Eq. (47), we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial_\xi \partial_\phi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|\phi)|_{\xi=\phi=a}}{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|x_0 \to a)|_{\xi=a}} = \frac{\partial_\xi \tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(\xi, s|a)|_{\xi\to a}}{\tilde{p}_{\text{free}}(a, s|a)}. \quad (48)$$

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

References

[1] I. Agbanusi and S.A. Isaacson. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 76:922, 2014.

[2] N. Agmon. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 81:2811, 1984.

[3] N. Agmon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 67(10):1366, 1991.

[4] A. Auerbach and S. Kivelson. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 257:799, 1985.

[5] M. Chaichian and A. Demichev. *Path Integrals in Physics*, volume 1. IOP Publishing, 2001.

[6] C.C Chow and M.A. Buice. *J. Math. Neurosci.*, 5:1, 2015.

[7] T.E. Clark, R. Menikoff, and D.H. Sharp. *Phys.Rev. D*, 22(12):3012, 1980.

[8] F.C. Collins and G.E. Kimball. *J. Colloid Sci.*, 4:425, 1949.

[9] M. Doi. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 9:1465, 1976.

[10] M. Doi. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 9:1479, 1976.

[11] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 5:3029, 1990.

[12] F.C. Goodrich. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 22:588, 1954.

[13] U.M. Gösele. *Prog. React. Kinet.*, 13:63, 1984.

[14] C. Grosche. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 23:5205, 1990.

[15] C. Grosche. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71(1):1, 1993.

[16] C. Grosche. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 28:L99, 1995.

[17] J.J. Halliwell. *Physics Letters A*, 207:237, 1995.

[18] J.J. Halliwell and M.E. Ortiz. *Phys.Rev.D*, 48:748, 1993.

[19] S.S. Khokhlova and N. Agmon. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 33:1020, 2012.

[20] H. Kim and K.J. Shin. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82:1578, 1999.

[21] D.C. Mattis and M.L. Glasser. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 70:979, 1998.

[22] S.H. Northrup and J.T. Hynes. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 68:3203, 1978.

[23] T. Prüstel and M. Meier-Schellersheim. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 137:054104, 2012.

[24] T. Prüstel and M. Meier-Schellersheim. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 140:114106, 2014.

[25] T. Prüstel and M. Meier-Schellersheim. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 141:194115, 2014.

[26] T. Prüstel and M. Meier-Schellersheim. arXiv:1508.01595v1 [q-bio.QM], 2015.

[27] T. Prüstel and M. Meier-Schellersheim. arXiv:1509.06810v1 [q-bio.QM], 2015.

[28] S.A. Rice. *Diffusion Limited Reactions*. Elsevier, New York, 1985.

[29] G. Roepstorff. *Path Integral Approach to Quantum Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[30] L.S. Schulman. *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration*. Dover, New York, 2005.

[31] A. Szabo, G. Lamm, and G.H. Weiss. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 34:225, 1984.

[32] H. Taitelbaum. *Physica A*, 190:295, 1992.

[33] P. van Baal. Tunneling and the path decomposition expansion. In H.A. Cerdeira et al, editor, *Lectures on path integration:Trieste 1991*, Singapore, 1993. World Scientific.

[34] M. von Smoluchowski. *Z. Phys. Chem.*, 92:129, 1917.

[35] G. Wilemski and M. Fixman. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 58:4009, 1973.

[36] J.M. Yearsley. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 41:285301, 2008.

[37] J.M. Yearsley. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 174:012072, 2009.